

INTJ

See further,
build with purpose



Ni

Te

Fi

Se



KYRIAN HERMANSEN

PERSONALITY COLLECTION

FREE EXCERPT

Before you look for yourself

Perhaps discovering the four letters INTJ brought some relief. Habits that had been hard to explain acquired a language: stepping back, searching for coherence, taking time before a decision. Or perhaps the description felt cold, overconfident and strangely unlike you.

Both reactions are welcome here. This book does not require you to recognise yourself on every page. It invites you to examine how an impression becomes an idea, and how an idea becomes an action. That is the thread we will follow.

The architect character accompanies this exploration. She represents the pleasure of understanding a structure and imagining a different one. She does not suggest that an INTJ must like buildings, excel in science or possess an exceptional mind.

Read with a pencil if you wish. Pay particular attention to the passages that do not fit your experience. An example that feels wrong may teach you more than a flattering sentence.

Throughout the book, we will return to an ordinary question: what helps you act more thoughtfully, both for yourself and with other people?



The journey

07 Where did the model come from?

19 Reading the four letters

33 Understanding the eight functions

49 Meeting the INTJ profile

61 Ni: finding a direction

71 Te: making an idea workable



The encounter with Freud

Jung began corresponding with Sigmund Freud in 1906. Their relationship quickly became important to the psychoanalytic movement. Jung defended Freudian ideas in a medical community often hostile to them; Freud regarded him as a major ally. [1]

Their surviving letters offer something more revealing than a legend. Admiration is intense, then the tone changes. By the end of 1912, intellectual and personal tensions had become difficult to contain. Their break took shape in 1913. [2]

It is tempting to reduce this to a story about a teacher and his pupil, or to explain their disagreement through personality labels. That shortcut would erase the substance of the debate. They differed over fundamental ideas, including the meaning of libido and the nature of the unconscious.

This history suggests a useful rule for the rest of our journey: understanding a difference requires more than assigning a label to each person. We still need to listen to arguments, examine experience and accept that a disagreement may concern the substance of a question.



Myers and Briggs change the scale

Katharine Cook Briggs was already interested in personality differences when she encountered Jung's work in English. She studied and applied it, passing that interest to her daughter, Isabel Briggs Myers. Myers spent decades developing a theory of types into an assessment instrument. [6]

The first research version of the MBTI, known as Form A, appeared in 1943. [7] The project continued through successive versions and work on questions, scoring and interpretation. The MBTI therefore has its own history; it is not a questionnaire written by Jung.

The change in scale was substantial. Moving from theoretical descriptions to standardised responses requires choices about wording, calculations and categories. None is neutral. They make an instrument usable while raising questions about measurement.

In the following pages, “model” means the interpretive framework. “Instrument” means the official questionnaire and its procedures. An independent online test may borrow ideas from the framework without sharing its questions, research or measurement qualities.

Why Ni-Te-Fi-Se?

1. N and T identify the perceiving and judging preferences in the INTJ code.
2. J indicates an outward-facing judging function: T becomes Te here.
3. I indicates an introverted dominant. Because Te is extraverted, it takes the auxiliary position; Ni is dominant.
4. Under the convention used here, Fi stands opposite Te in the third position. Se stands opposite Ni in the fourth. [10, 12]

Ni

Form an internal understanding

Te

Test it against usable criteria

Fi

Examine what matters personally

Se

Return to the present situation

These four phrases are reading aids developed for this book. They are neither exhaustive definitions nor a procedure that could prove your type. We will expand them, place them in situations and examine their limits.

Meeting the INTJ profile

An internal direction, a wish to understand, and the need to give a workable form to what seems possible.



The pleasure of understanding a structure

The INTJ portrait we will explore begins with a particular satisfaction: understanding how several elements fit together. A procedure, story, project or organisation becomes interesting as its logic and possibilities for development emerge.

In our example, Ines is preparing a small neighbourhood festival. She does more than collect activities. She seeks an intention that will give the day coherence: allowing residents to share practical knowledge. That intention helps her select proposals and notice what is missing.

A Ni-Te reading describes the movement between internal coherence and external organisation. The intention has to meet schedules, resources and real people. If Ines stays alone with her vision, it remains difficult to share. If she rushes into tasks without revisiting the intention, she may lose what made it interesting.

You may recognise this movement in very different areas. It requires neither spectacular ambition nor a particular profession. Reorganising a family bookshelf or preparing a trip can involve the same pleasure in making a whole fit together.

Ni

Finding a direction

Notice a thread between the elements, give it a form, then allow it to remain a hypothesis.



What “perceiving” means here

Ni belongs to the perceiving functions. Before asking whether an idea is correct or useful, it names, within the theory, a way something appears in consciousness. Inner images are important in Jung’s description. Contemporary accounts often place more emphasis on patterns, meanings and possible trajectories. Keep that difference in vocabulary in mind.

This book mainly works with those contemporary applications. They do not claim to summarise Jung’s entire account of intuition. A planning scene illustrates a possible reading, not the complete definition of Ni.

Imagine several small difficulties at work beginning to seem connected: late decisions, contradictory instructions and the same person being consulted about everything. A hypothesis emerges: the problem may concern how authority is distributed. You have not proved the explanation, but you know what is worth investigating.

The value of this first movement lies in the question it opens. The next step is to return to facts, consider alternatives and see whether the proposed direction holds up.



Intuition and the feeling of certainty

An idea can feel clear without being accurate. Strength of conviction and strength of evidence are different things. This matters especially when you feel you have understood a situation before you can explain why.

Ines believes a colleague rejects her project. She connects a silence, a short message and an older comment. The story becomes coherent. Yet her colleague may be busy, unsure about one detail or unaware that a response is expected. Several explanations remain possible.

You can acknowledge an impression without turning it into an accusation. “I have the impression something about the project concerns you; is that right?” invites a check. “You never support me” states a conclusion and forces a defence.

When certainty rises, ask which fact would change your mind. If no imaginable fact seems capable of counting, pause the interpretation. The task is no longer just to find an answer; it is to reopen the conditions under which an answer could be corrected.



Case study: the seemingly obvious project

Ines wants to create a neighbourhood library of things. She already imagines a catalogue, reservations and repair workshops. The whole makes sense to her: residents save space and share items they rarely use.

At the first meeting, questions focus elsewhere: who checks the objects, where will they be stored and how will late returns work? Ines finds the discussion disappointing. She fears details will smother the idea before anyone understands it.

Another reading is possible. These questions reveal the conditions real people need before committing. Ines restates the intention and proposes a trial with a few items, an identified location and a limited duration. The project becomes small enough to learn from.

A function-based reading: Ni gave several possibilities coherence. Te helps define the trial; Se invites inspection of the space; Fi clarifies what sharing should respect. The crucial change is not abandoning the vision. It is allowing the first constraints to give it a form people can inhabit.

FICTIONAL SCENE

Ni workshop: examine an intuition

Choose an intuition about a project with no medical, financial or safety stakes. Write it as a sentence that allows uncertainty: “I think...” or “It is possible that...”

THE CLUES · Record three observations that led to the idea. Separate what you saw from what you inferred.

OTHER READINGS · Offer two different explanations, even if you find them less appealing.

THE WEAK POINT · Which part of your reasoning depends on missing information?

THE CHECK · What small piece of evidence could you seek this week? Specify where, when and from whom.

THE REVIEW · After checking, write what remains valid and what changes. Preserve results that do not confirm your intuition too. The exercise is about learning from comparison, not collecting successful predictions.

Setting a boundary early enough

A late boundary can look like a sudden rupture. You have accepted several requests, overlooked comments and hoped the other person would understand. When you finally refuse, the reaction seems disproportionate to the latest request, although it responds to an accumulation.

To interrupt this pattern, state a boundary tied to observable behaviour. “I cannot answer work requests on Sundays” is clearer than “respect my space”. Explain what remains possible: a message read on Monday, an agreed time or another contact.

A boundary does not require a prosecution of the other person. It states what you can do, what you cannot and, where appropriate, what you propose. Some boundaries remain firm even when disappointing.

Choose a situation in which resentment is beginning. Which request would have helped if made earlier? Write it plainly, without attributing motives. Then decide when to discuss it, taking the actual relationship into account.



Four functions around a decision

Before a significant project choice, try four questions.

Ni

Which direction seems to make sense of the whole?
What supports that reading?

Te

Which experiment, criterion or arrangement would make the direction workable?

Fi

What do I want to preserve?
Which boundary or value needs naming?

Se

Which present conditions have I not yet examined directly?

The order can change. The questions interact, and new information may return you to the beginning. This is an original preparation tool, not a psychometric instrument.

Finish with a decision statement: “Given what I know today, I choose... I will check... on this date.” You connect direction, action and the possibility of learning. Complete certainty is not required for a proportionate commitment.

Sources: history and foundations

The links below are clickable. Sources accessed 27 October 2026. Summaries were written for this book; no extended quotations are reproduced.

[1] International Association of Analytical Psychology (IAAP). *Carl Gustav Jung*. Psychiatric career and relationship with Freud. Read the account.

[2] IAAP / ETH Zurich archives. *C. G. Jung's Letters to Sigmund Freud*. Introduction to the digitised correspondence. Read.

[3] IAAP. *Volume 5: Symbols of Transformation*, abstract “The concept of libido”. Read.

[4] Jung, C. G. *Psychological Types*, editorial note on origins and publication history. Publisher's preview.

[5] Jung, C. G. (1921/1923). *Psychological Types*, chapter X, translated by H. G. Baynes. York University archive. Read the chapter.

[6] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *Our Legacy*. Read.

[7] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *About the Research Grant Program*. Date of Form A, 1943. Read.

Sources: dynamics and critical reading

[8] IAAP. *The Red Book*. Context for individuation and limits of reducing it to self-improvement. Read.

[9] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *The Preferences*. Read.

[10] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *Type Dynamics: Overview*. Relationships between letters, orientations and functions. Read.

[11] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *Ethical Use of the MBTI Assessment*. Preferences, skills and uses. Read.

[12] Myers & Briggs Foundation. *The Processes of Type Dynamics*. Hierarchy and the tertiary-orientation qualification. Read.

[13] McCrae, R. R. and Costa, P. T. (1989). "Reinterpreting the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator from the perspective of the five-factor model of personality." *Journal of Personality*, 57(1), 17-40. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.1989.tb00759.x. Read the abstract.

Reading note: the scenes, practical questions and workshops are original suggestions. Function language organises them; their usefulness does not validate the theory.

One direction, several ways forward

You do not need to become a perfect INTJ portrait. You can learn to recognise what you already do, make your ideas clearer and leave room for questions you sometimes overlook.

Inside this volume: the model's history, the eight functions, an in-depth exploration of Ni, Te, Fi and Se, practical situations and a practice notebook.

To continue exploring, both website questionnaires are free, including results.

The quick test · The in-depth test

Personality collection · INTJ

