JUNGIAN FUNCTIONS

ESFJ

Build connection, keep your voice



Fe

Si

Ne

Ti

KYRIAN HERMANSEN

PERSONALITY COLLECTION

About this edition

ESFJ: Build connection, keep your voice. By Kyrian Hermansen, in the Passion Psycho Jungian Functions collection. English edition, October 2026.

This book offers an educational reading of typology. It does not replace professional support or the official MBTI® assessment. It provides no diagnosis and cannot explain someone from social habits alone.

Élise, Malik, and Lou are fictional characters. Their exchanges help examine functions without representing every ESFJ. Exercises invite description of situations, attention to reasons, and checking of interpretations. There is no score.

Pages 7-48 introduce foundations shared across the collection. The section focused more specifically on ESFJ begins on page 49. We follow Fe, Si, Ne, and Ti; page 27 explains the tertiary convention. References and links appear on pages 118 and 119.



Why I return to the functions

I have spent more than 200 hours studying this subject and have worked through personality typing with more than 200 people. That personal experience shapes how I explain the framework. It is not a scientific study or proof that every interpretation I make is correct.

I care about making Jungian functions understandable. They are often underexplained, although I believe they should guide the search for a type. Welcoming someone warmly does not establish Fe. We need to hear what the person considers and what guides their judgment.

For ESFJ, I wanted room for questions type nicknames overlook. How do you disagree when connection matters? How do you welcome someone without choosing for them? What helps you examine a rule everyone seems to accept?

You may recognize some pages and disagree with others. Keep your examples. They matter more than the satisfaction of matching a portrait.

Three people, different expectations

Élise, Malik, and Lou are preparing a small neighborhood photograph exhibition. Participants will bring images of places that matter to them. The room is modest, time is limited, and they do not yet imagine quite the same event.

Élise wants everyone to understand how to participate. Malik cares about the stories photographs can tell. Lou wants visitors to be free to look quietly without speaking to a group.

Throughout the book they will explain intentions, confirm agreements, and revise a habit inherited from an earlier gathering. Disagreement may reveal useful information; a quiet suggestion may change the format.

The story is fictional. A scene isolates a question for examination rather than reproducing a whole person. Offer another reading and ask what supports it. We are interested in reasons for choices, not a contest of supposedly typical characters.



Your route through these pages

Pages 7-18 explore origins, returning to Jung and the questions preceding the four letters. Pages 19-48 introduce preferences, dynamics, and all eight functions, providing a reference when vocabulary becomes less familiar.

Pages 49-60 present ESFJ and comparisons with ISFJ, ENFJ, and ESTJ. We examine surface similarities and distinctions requiring closer attention to reasons.

Pages 61-98 explore Fe, Si, Ne, and Ti. Each chapter connects a concept to a scene and questions about your experience. Pages 99-108 address relationships, work, learning, and ordinary decisions.

Pages 109-116 offer a four-week notebook. A glossary, references, and a final invitation to revisit your examples follow. Take your time. One precise observation is worth more than completing a page merely to move on.



A reading to check against experience

Functions belong to a theoretical tradition. They describe orientations of perception and judgment, not four organs observable in someone's brain during conversation.

This book uses Fe, Si, Ne, Ti to explore ESFJ. Schools differ on positions and some orientations. Page 27 states our tertiary convention without treating it as a neurological fact or measure of ability.

Élise may consider an expressed expectation, recall an experience, and check a definition in the same exchange. We sometimes separate these processes to explain them. Everyday life combines them.

Describe a scene before naming it. "I asked whether everyone wanted to present a photograph" identifies an action. "I always know what others need" assigns a competence no type guarantees. A useful question lets experience correct your idea.



Keep the portrait open

A typology distinguishes tendencies to make some differences easier to describe. It necessarily simplifies the people it describes. For Jung, this question belongs to a wider account of psychological life; it does not provide a list of sixteen identities to adopt. [4, 5]

Saying “I’m ESFJ” can start a conversation about how you understand a situation. It still leaves much out: your history, your skills, learned habits, and what today’s circumstances require.

You may recognize a process in the model without identifying with the qualities attached to a type’s nickname. You may also change your working hypothesis as you learn about the functions. That possibility belongs in a careful examination of experience.

As you read, mark one passage that fits and one that does not. Find specific situations for both. Disagreement with a portrait deserves as much attention as the pleasure of recognizing yourself.



Reading the ESFJ code

J directs judgment outward; F identifies Feeling, giving Fe. E makes it dominant. S then identifies the inward auxiliary perceiving process: Si. [10, 12]

We follow Fe, Si, Ne, Ti. Fe-Ti helps examine expressed relational expectations and values alongside consistency in the distinctions used. Si-Ne relates impressions from experience to possibilities suggested by a situation.

“Inferior” Ti does not mean inferior intelligence. Tertiary Ne does not limit imagination. Positions belong to the framework; skills also develop through learning, roles, and personal history.

Beside each function, record a scene, a reason for that reading, and another possible interpretation. Leave space if you lack an example. An open question is better than manufactured evidence completing a diagram.



ESFJ: being connected with a voice of your own

Hear one expectation, express another, and find a way to live with their differences. The portrait begins in a relationship where everyone has something to say, including you.



Élise’s first proposal

Élise arranges photographs on the table. She imagines participants telling the story of an important place while others listen. A previous gathering left her with a memory of people connecting that way.

Malik likes the idea. Lou hesitates, then says she would like to show an image without speaking to everyone. Élise starts to reassure her that it will be easy and nobody will judge. She stops and asks what would suit her.

Lou suggests an optional written card. Malik imagines several ways of presenting a story. The initial proposal changes because someone’s reservation was heard.

Fe and Si offer a reading: concern for shared exchange meets a memory, then a different expectation. The decisive point is room for that difference. Welcoming someone includes letting them participate differently from you.

ESFJ and ISFJ: examine the organizing process

ESFJ and ISFJ share Fe and Si in different orders: dominant Fe with auxiliary Si for ESFJ, dominant Si with auxiliary Fe for ISFJ. Comparison goes beyond social frequency or ease of speaking. [10, 12]

You may start from expressed expectations and draw on experience for a response. Or an inward impression may come first, followed by considering its place in the exchange. Both movements can occur in one person.

Do not time the first thought. Examine each orientation's usual role across decisions. What helps you understand a situation? What then makes a response seem suitable?

Describe one familiar and one unfamiliar situation. Compare reasons rather than confidence alone. Novelty may change social behavior without erasing the references organizing attention.

Fe: making room for the voices present

Consider how a decision fits a relationship, hear expressed expectations, and make your own understandable. Here we examine Fe through an exchange where agreement can be questioned.



Judgment directed toward the relationship

Extraverted Feeling, Fe, gives weight to values and expectations expressed in the relational world. We examine it through seeking agreement, judging what suits an exchange, and adjusting its form. [4, 5, 12]

Élise asks how participants want to present images. She tries to build a proposal incorporating their answers. Relationships supply criteria for judgment; they are more than a pleasant atmosphere.

A group can still share a questionable expectation. A familiar or popular rule is not automatically fair to everyone. Fe does not remove the need for questions or attention to a minority voice.

When seeking agreement, ask which expectations are known, which are assumed, and who has not answered. That clarifies what your judgment actually includes.



Si: what remains of an experience

A place, gesture, or way of welcoming may recall an earlier impression. Si invites examination of that inward reference and what it contributes to today's situation.



The impression accompanying a memory

Introverted Sensing, Si, concerns how experience is received inwardly. Lived impressions and references matter in this account. The function is not simply remembering dates or preferring old things. [4, 5]

Élise recognizes an entrance in a photograph. She mainly recalls relief at being expected on her first visit. Malik notices the gate's shape. The place is shared; associations differ.

The impression can prompt a current question: how will newcomers find the entrance? It cannot establish that everyone needs the welcome Élise needed.

When memory informs a decision, describe what returns and its meaning for you. Ask which conditions are comparable now. Experience becomes a more precise reference when its limits remain visible.

Ne: let another possibility in

A new idea does not require abandoning what works. It can simply open a window: what if this gathering could take another form?



Ti: understand what holds together

You can care about a relationship and ask for a precise explanation. Examining a rule's consistency does not reduce your concern for the people who will live with it.



Week 1: check an expectation

Choose an ordinary situation where you think you know what someone expects: a quick reply, an invitation, or a favor. Record the available facts first. Separate them from your assumptions and your own wishes.

Ask a question that allows several answers: “Do you need this today, or would tomorrow work?” Avoid wording that pressures the person to confirm your assumption. Hear the answer before offering what you can do.

Record what changed. You may have understood correctly, or the request may have been smaller, different, or nonexistent. Either way, you now have information you lacked.

At week’s end, review two or three examples. Notice when you jump to conclusions fastest. This does not assess Fe; it helps distinguish expressed expectations from assumed ones.

